



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATI	FIRST NAMED INVENTO	R ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/795,973	03/08/2004	Douglas S. Brown	FRESHPR.2CPC4C	8605
20995	7590 03/1	1/2005	EXAN	MINER
	MARTENS OLS	KAUFMAN	KAUFMAN, JOSEPH A	
2040 MAIN : FOURTEEN			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, CA	IRVINE, CA 92614			

DATE MAILED: 03/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 10/795,973 Examiner oseph A. Kaufman rs on the cover sheet with the company of the cover sheet with the cover sheet					
examiner oseph A. Kaufman os on the cover sheet with the o	Art Unit 3754 correspondence address				
oseph A. Kaufman rs on the cover sheet with the company of the cover sheet with the cover sh	3754 correspondence address				
rs on the cover sheet with the costs on the cover sheet with the costs of the cover sheet with the cover sheet wit	correspondence address				
S SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH					
	(S) FROM				
hin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from use the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
ction is non-final.					
•					
0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
nave been received. nave been received in Applicat documents have been receiv PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
	a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire thin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from use the application to become ABANDONE te of this communication, even if timely filled by the except for formal matters, proparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4. If the dorn b) objected to by the awing(s) be held in abeyance. See a is required if the drawing(s) is obtainer. Note the attached Office in increase in the action of the except of the extraction of the extra				

Application/Control Number: 10/795,973 Page 2

Art Unit: 3754

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 43-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown et al. '358 (to Donald Brown).

Brown et al. shows a box 10 having an interior space 242, 244; score lines 110 forming an aperture; recess 240, 241, 243, 245 that runs the entire width of the box; bag 246, 248; open end seen in Figure 13; and plate 112 that extends over the recess.

Brown et al. lacks the soap and the battery. As soap (in the form of shampoo) is a hair care product, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide soap in the bag provided by Brown et al. in order to be able to clean the hair before

Application/Control Number: 10/795,973

Art Unit: 3754

treatment. Further, as the recess is a storage area, placing batteries in the storage area would have been obvious as numerous hair treatment devices operate on batteries.

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Gusic, Durst et al., Light, Powell, Croley, and Marquardt show other bag-in-box dispensing devices and Brown et al. '506 was checked for double patenting.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph A. Kaufman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4928. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 5:30AM-2PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Mar can be reached on (571) 272-4906. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joseph All Kaufman Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3754

jak

March 7, 2005